

# Finite groups having centralizer commutator product property

Gülin Ercan

Received: 28 November 2014 / Accepted: 3 February 2015  
© Springer-Verlag Italia 2015

**Abstract** Let  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of a finite group  $G$  and assume that  $G = \{ [g, \alpha] : g \in G \} \cdot C_G(\alpha)$ . We prove that the order of the subgroup  $[G, \alpha]$  is bounded above by  $n^{\log_2(n+1)}$  where  $n$  is the index of  $C_G(\alpha)$  in  $G$ .

**Keywords** Automorphism · Commutator · Fixed point subgroup · Centralizer

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 20D10 · 20D15 · 20D45

## 1 Introduction

Let  $A$  be a finite group that acts on the finite group  $G$ . In the case where  $(|G|, |A|) = 1$ , there are several very useful relations between the groups  $G$  and  $A$ , some of which are as follows: (i)  $G = [G, A] \cdot C_G(A)$ , (ii)  $[G, A, A] = [G, A]$  and (iii)  $C_{G/N}(A) = C_G(A)N/N$  for any  $A$ -invariant normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$ . Almost all of the research papers studying this kind of action concerned with the situations where the fixed point subgroup  $C_G(A)$  has a restricted structure. However, Parker and Quick [1] considered a dual situation by assuming that the index of  $C_G(A)$  is bounded. As this assumption clearly gives no restriction to  $C_G(A)$ , they focused their attention on the group  $[G, A]$  and proved that  $|[G, A]| \leq n^{\log_2(n+1)}$  if  $|G : C_G(A)| \leq n$ .

We consider here a special noncoprime action in view of [1]:

*Let  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of the finite group  $G$  such that for every  $x \in G$ ,  $x = [g, \alpha] \cdot z$  for some  $g \in G$  and  $z \in C_G(\alpha)$ .*

In the literature a finite group  $G$  admitting such an automorphism  $\alpha$  is called an  $\alpha$ -CCP group where the acronym CCP stands for “centralizer commutator product”. Lemma 2.1 below shows that nice relations indicated above which are valid in the case of a coprime action also survive in the setting of  $\alpha$ -CCP groups. The study of  $\alpha$ -CCP groups was started

---

G. Ercan (✉)  
Department of Mathematics, Middle East Technical University, Ankara, Turkey  
e-mail: ercan@metu.edu.tr

by Stein [2] who proved that the subgroup  $[G, \alpha]$  is solvable. The goal of the present paper is to give an upper bound for the order of  $[G, \alpha]$  in terms of the index of  $C_G(\alpha)$  in  $G$ . Namely, we prove the following:

**Theorem A** *Let  $G$  be an  $\alpha$ -CCP group such that  $|G : C_G(\alpha)| \leq n$ . Then  $|[G, \alpha]| \leq n^{\log_2(n+1)}$ .*

An internal reformulation of Theorem A can be stated as

**Theorem B** *Let  $H$  be a finite group containing an element  $x$  such that  $H = \{[h, x] : h \in H\} \cdot C_H(x)$ . If  $|H : C_H(x)| \leq n$  then  $|[H, x]| \leq n^{\log_2(n+1)}$ .*

Theorem A is the  $\alpha$ -CCP analogue of [1, TheoremA]. The key lemma in our proof is Lemma 3.1 which we obtain as the  $\alpha$ -CCP analogue of [1, Lemma 2.1]. The rest of the paper contains the proof of Theorem A and some technical results pertaining to the proof of Theorem A; all of which are proven in a similar fashion as in the proofs of [1, Proposition 2.2], [1, Corollary 2.3] and [1, TheoremA] with obvious changes, namely using Lemma 3.1 instead of [1, Lemma 2.1]. For the sake of completeness we present a proof here for each of them.

In Sect. 2 we state and prove some preliminary facts about  $\alpha$ -CCP groups. Section 3 is concerned with our key lemma, namely Lemma 3.1, and its consequences. We prove our main result Theorem A and its equivalent Theorem B in Sect. 4.

All groups are assumed to be finite. The notation and terminology are standard.

## 2 Preliminaries on $\alpha$ -CCP groups

**Lemma 2.1** *The following hold for any  $\alpha$ -CCP group  $G$ .*

- (i)  $G = [G, \alpha] \cdot C_G(\alpha)$  and  $[G, \alpha, \alpha] = [G, \alpha]$ . Furthermore  $G = [G, \alpha] \times C_G(\alpha)$  whenever  $G$  is abelian.
- (ii) Every  $\alpha$ -invariant subgroup  $S$  of  $G$  is also an  $\alpha$ -CCP group and we have  $\{[x, \alpha] : x \in S\} = \{[g, \alpha] : g \in G\} \cap S$ .
- (iii)  $G/N$  is an  $\alpha$ -CCP group for any  $\alpha$ -invariant normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$ .
- (iv) If  $[g, \alpha]^f \in C_G(\alpha)$  for some  $f$  and  $g$  in  $G$ , then  $g \in C_G(\alpha)$ .
- (v)  $C_{G/N}(\alpha) = C_G(\alpha)N/N$  for any  $\alpha$ -invariant normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$ .
- (vi)  $\{[g, \alpha] : g \in G\}$  is a transversal to  $C_G(\alpha)$ . Furthermore  $\alpha^G$  is a transversal to  $C_H(\alpha^a)$  for any  $a \in G$  in the semidirect product  $H = G\langle\alpha\rangle$ .

*Proof* This lemma gives almost the same information as in [2, Proposition 2.2] on an  $\alpha$ -CCP group  $G$ . We need only to show that  $G = [G, \alpha] \times C_G(\alpha)$  when  $G$  is abelian: Notice that  $[G, \alpha] = \{[g, \alpha] : g \in G\}$  when  $G$  is abelian and also observe that for any  $[g, \alpha] \in C_G(\alpha)$ , we have  $[g, \alpha] = 1$  by Lemma 2.1(iv).  $\square$

The following lemma is crucial in proving our key lemma Lemma 3.1.

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $G$  be an  $\alpha$ -CCP group and set  $H = G\langle\alpha\rangle$ . Then*

- (i) the map  $f_{\alpha^a} : \alpha^G \rightarrow \alpha^G$  defined by  $f_{\alpha^a}(\alpha^g) = (\alpha^a)^{\alpha^g}$  is a bijection for any  $a \in G$ ,
- (ii) for any  $X \leq H$  with  $X \cap \alpha^G \neq \phi$  and for any  $\alpha^a \in X$  we have

$$(\alpha^a)^X = (\alpha^a)^{X \cap \alpha^G} = X \cap \alpha^G.$$

*Proof*  $\alpha^G$  is a transversal to  $C_H(\alpha^a)$  by Lemma 2.1(vi). If  $g$  and  $h$  are elements of  $G$  such that  $(\alpha^a)\alpha^g = (\alpha^a)\alpha^h$ , then  $\alpha^g(\alpha^h)^{-1} \in C_H(\alpha^a)$  and so  $\alpha^g = \alpha^h$ . This proves (i) since  $\alpha^G$  is finite.

It is straightforward to verify that  $(\alpha^a)^{X \cap \alpha^G} \subseteq (\alpha^a)^X \subseteq X \cap \alpha^G$ . If  $\alpha^y \in X \cap \alpha^G$ , then  $\alpha^y = (\alpha^a)\alpha^h$  for some  $h \in G$  by part (i). This yields  $\alpha^y \in (\alpha^a)\alpha^G$ . Notice that  $f_{\alpha^a}(X \cap \alpha^G) \subseteq X \cap \alpha^G$  as  $\alpha^a \in X$ , and so  $f_{\alpha^a}(X \cap \alpha^G) = X \cap \alpha^G$  since  $f_{\alpha^a}$  is a bijection. Then  $\alpha^h \in X$  and hence  $X \cap \alpha^G \subseteq (\alpha^a)^{X \cap \alpha^G}$  which establishes the claim (ii).  $\square$

### 3 Some technical lemmas pertaining to the proof of Theorem A

The following results are modifications of Lemma 2.1, Proposition 2.2 and Corollary 2.3 in [1] for  $\alpha$ -CCP groups.

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $G$  be an  $\alpha$ -CCP group and let  $\mathcal{O} = \alpha^G$ . If  $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}$  and  $\Theta$  is an orbit of  $\langle I \rangle$  on  $\mathcal{O}$ , then  $\langle I \rangle \leq \langle \Theta \rangle$ . Furthermore if some member of  $\Theta$  is not contained in  $\langle I \rangle$ , then  $\langle I \rangle < \langle \Theta \rangle$ .*

*Proof* To ease the notation set  $K = \langle I \rangle$  and let  $\Theta = (\alpha^x)^K$ . It should be noted that  $K \langle \Theta \rangle$  is a subgroup of  $G$  because  $K$  normalizes  $\langle \Theta \rangle$ . Set now  $L = K \langle \Theta \rangle$ . Since  $L \cap \alpha^G \neq \phi$  and  $\alpha^x \in L$ , we have

$$(\alpha^x)^L = (\alpha^x)^{L \cap \alpha^G} = L \cap \alpha^G$$

by Lemma 2.2(ii). Then, for any generator  $\alpha^y$  of  $K$ , we have

$$\alpha^y \in L \cap \alpha^G = (\alpha^x)^{K \langle \Theta \rangle} \subseteq \langle (\alpha^x)^K \rangle = \langle \Theta \rangle.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2** *When  $G$  is an  $\alpha$ -CCP group the group  $\langle \alpha^G \rangle$  can be generated by  $\log_2 \left( \frac{2(n+p-1)}{p} \right)$  conjugates of  $\alpha$  where  $p$  is the smallest positive divisor of the order of  $\alpha$  and  $|G : C_G(\alpha)| \leq n$ .*

*Proof* We let  $\mathcal{O} = \alpha^G$  and consider the action of  $\langle \alpha \rangle$  on  $\mathcal{O}$  by conjugation. Suppose first that  $\langle \alpha \rangle$  has a fixed point  $\alpha^x$  which is different from  $\alpha$ . Then  $[\alpha, x] \in C_G(\alpha)$  and hence  $[\alpha, x] = 1$  by Lemma 2.1(iv). This contradiction shows that  $\alpha$  is the only fixed point of  $\langle \alpha \rangle$  in its action on  $\mathcal{O}$ .

Define  $K_0 = 1$ ,  $K_1 = \langle \alpha \rangle$  and for  $j > 1$ ,  $K_j = \langle K_{j-1}, \alpha_j \rangle$  where at each stage  $\alpha_j \in \mathcal{O}$  is chosen to maximize the order of  $K_j$ . Since  $G$  is finite, there exists  $k$  such that  $K_k = \langle \alpha^G \rangle$  and  $K_{k-1} \neq \langle \alpha^G \rangle$ . Now  $\langle \alpha^G \rangle = \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k \rangle$  where  $\alpha_1 = \alpha$ . Fix  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  and let  $I = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_j\}$ . Now  $K_j = \langle I \rangle$ . Choose an orbit  $\Theta$  of  $K_j$  with representative  $B$  where  $B \not\subseteq K_j$ . Then  $K_j < \langle \Theta \rangle$  by Lemma 3.1. If  $\Theta$  were also an orbit of  $K_{j-1}$ , then we would have

$$K_j < \langle B^{K_j} \rangle = \langle B^{K_{j-1}} \rangle \leq \langle B, K_{j-1} \rangle$$

contradicting the choice of  $\alpha_j$ . Therefore  $\Theta$  is a union of at least two orbits of  $K_{j-1}$  on  $\mathcal{O}$ . Notice also that  $B \not\subseteq K_i$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, j$ . Thus  $\Theta$  is a union of at least  $2^{j-1}$  orbits of  $\langle \alpha \rangle$  on  $\mathcal{O}$ , each of which has length at least  $p$ . Since  $\alpha_j \leq K_j$  for  $i \leq j$  we see that the set  $\Omega = \{\alpha_1\} \cup \{\alpha_2^{K_1}\} \cup \dots \cup \{\alpha_i^{K_{i-1}}\}$  is contained in  $K_j$ . Therefore  $\Omega \cap \alpha_{i+1}^{K_i}$  is empty as

$\alpha_{i+1} \not\leq K_i$ . Then  $\mathcal{O} \supseteq \{\alpha_1\} \cup \{\alpha_2^{K_1}\} \cup \dots \cup \{\alpha_k^{K_{k-1}}\}$  and the right hand side is a disjoint union. So

$$n \geq |\mathcal{O}| \geq 1 + p(1 + 2 + \dots + 2^{k-2}) = 1 + p(2^{k-1} - 1).$$

Consequently we have  $k - 1 \leq \log_2 \left(\frac{n+p-1}{p}\right)$  as claimed. □

**Lemma 3.3** *Let  $G$  be an  $\alpha$ -CCP group. Suppose that  $G$  is a  $p$ -group for some prime  $p$  with  $|G : C_G(\alpha)| \leq p^m$ . Then  $||G, \alpha|| \leq p^{\frac{m^2+m}{2}}$ .*

*Proof* Firstly we handle the case where  $G$  is of class at most two by induction on the order of  $G$ . By Lemma 2.1(i) we have  $[G, \alpha] = [G, \alpha, \alpha]$  and  $G/G' = [G/G', \alpha] \times C_{G/G'}(\alpha)$ . Then  $G = [G, \alpha]$  by induction and hence  $C_{G/G'}(\alpha) = 1$ , that is  $C_G(\alpha) \leq G'$ . Thus  $|G : G'| \leq p^m$ . In this case the proof is in a similar fashion as in the proof of [1, Proposition 3.1]. For the sake of completeness we present it here. Let the abelian group  $\tilde{G} = G/G'$  be the direct product of nontrivial cyclic subgroups  $\langle \bar{x}_i \rangle$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d$  where  $|\bar{x}_i| = p^{m_i}$ . We have  $G = \langle x_1, \dots, x_d \rangle$  since  $G' \leq \Phi(G)$ . It is straightforward now to verify that  $G' = \langle [x_j, x_i] : 1 \leq i < j \leq d \rangle$  since  $G' \leq Z(G)$ . Set  $H_i = \langle x_{i+1}, \dots, x_d, G' \rangle$ . Then  $G' = \prod_{i=1}^{d-1} [H_i, x_i]$  for  $i = 1, \dots, d - 1$ . We have  $|[H_i, x_i]| \leq |H_i/G'| = p^{m_{i+1} + \dots + m_d}$  due to the fact that  $h \mapsto [h, x_i]$  defines a homomorphism from  $H_i/G'$  onto  $[H_i, x_i]$ . Thus  $|G'| \leq \prod_{i=1}^d p^{m_i} \prod_{i=1}^{d-1} |[H_i, x_i]| \leq p^M$  where  $M = \sum_{i=1}^d im_i$ . It can be proven by induction on  $d$  that  $M \leq (m^2 + m)/2$ . This completes the proof when  $G$  is of class at most two.

Suppose now that  $G$  has class  $c$  with  $c \geq 3$ . Again assume  $|G|$  minimal, therefore  $G = [G, \alpha]$ . The proof in this case is in a very similar fashion as in the proof of [1, Theorem B]. Note that  $\gamma_{c-1}(G)$  is abelian. We also observe that  $[\gamma_{c-1}(G), \alpha] \neq 1$ , because otherwise  $[\gamma_{c-1}(G), \alpha, G] = 1 = [G, \gamma_{c-1}(G), \alpha]$  and hence  $\gamma_{c-1}(G) \leq Z(G)$  by the Three Subgroup Lemma. Let now  $N$  be of minimal order among all normal  $\alpha$ -invariant subgroups of  $G$  contained in  $\gamma_{c-1}(G)$  and are not centralized by  $\alpha$ . Let  $|G/N : C_{G/N}(\alpha)| = p^r$ . As  $C_{G/N}(\alpha) = C_G(\alpha)N/N$  by Lemma 2.1(v) we have  $|G : C_G(\alpha)N| = p^r$ . Note that  $G/N$  and  $N$  are both  $\alpha$ -CCP groups by Lemma 2.1(i). It follows by induction that  $|[G/N, \alpha]| \leq p^{\frac{r^2+r}{2}}$ . As  $[G/N, \alpha] = [G, \alpha]N/N = G/N$  we have  $|G/N| \leq p^{\frac{r^2+r}{2}}$ . Let now  $|N : C_N(\alpha)| = p^s$ . Since  $N$  is abelian we have  $N = [N, \alpha] \times C_N(\alpha)$  and so  $|[N, \alpha]| = p^s$ . It remains to bound  $|N/[N, \alpha]|$  suitably. As  $N$  is contained in  $\gamma_{c-1}(G)$  we have  $[N, G] \leq \gamma_c(G) \leq Z(G)$ . Hence for  $g \in G$  the map  $x \mapsto [x, g]$  for  $x \in N$ , is a homomorphism with kernel  $C_N(g)$ , in particular  $[N, G]$  lies in the kernel and  $|N : C_N(g)| = |[N, g]|$ . Set now  $H = [N, \alpha][N, G]$ . Observe that  $1 \neq [N, \alpha] = [N, \alpha, \alpha] \leq [H, \alpha]$  by Lemma 2.1(i). It follows by minimality of  $N$  that  $H = N$ . Thus

$$|[N, g]| = |N : C_N(g)| \leq |N : [N, G]| = |[N, \alpha][N, G] : [N, G]| \leq |[N, \alpha]|.$$

We also observe that  $[N, G'] = 1$  by the three subgroup Lemma as  $[N, G, G] = 1 = [G, N, G]$ . This gives that  $NC_G(\alpha) \leq G' \leq C_G(N)$ . As  $N \leq \gamma_{c-1}(G) \leq G'$  we get  $NC_G(\alpha) \leq G' \leq C_G(N)$ . Therefore  $|G : C_G(N)| \leq p^r$ . Let  $Y$  be a minimal generating set for  $G$  modulo  $C_G(N)$ . Then  $|Y| \leq r$ . Since  $[N, G] \leq Z(G)$  we also see that  $[N, G] = \prod_{y \in Y} [N, y]$ . Thus  $|[N, G]| \leq |[N, \alpha]|^{|Y|} \leq p^{sr}$ . So  $|N| = |[N, G][N, \alpha]| \leq p^{s(r+1)}$  whence  $|G| = |G/N| \cdot |N| \leq p^{(r^2+r)+s(r+1)}$ . This establishes the claim as

$$\begin{aligned} 1/2((r^2 + r) + s(r + 1)) &\leq 1/2(r^2 + r) + 1/2(s^2 + s) + sr \\ &\leq 1/2((r + s)^2 + r + s) \leq 1/2(m^2 + m). \end{aligned}$$

□

### 4 Proof of the main results

In this section we present a proof of Theorem A and deduce Theorem B.

*Proof of Theorem A* Let  $G$  be a minimal counterexample to the theorem. Then  $G = [G, \alpha]$  by induction as  $[G, \alpha] = [G, \alpha, \alpha]$  by Lemma 2.1(i). As a consequence  $C_G(\alpha) \leq G'$ , and  $G$  is nonabelian. The main result of [2] gives that the group  $G$  is solvable and hence  $F(G) \neq 1$ . If  $[F(G), \alpha] = 1$ , then  $G \leq C_G(F(G)) = Z(F(G))$  by the Three Subgroup Lemma, which is a contradiction as  $G$  is nonabelian. Thus  $[F(G), \alpha] \neq 1$  and hence there is a prime  $p$  dividing  $|F(G)|$  such that  $[O_p(G), \alpha] \neq 1$ . Notice that if  $[Z_2(O_p(G)), \alpha] = 1$ , then  $Z_2(O_p(G)) \leq Z(G)$  by the Three Subgroup Lemma as  $[G, \alpha] = G$ . This forces  $O_p(G) = Z_2(O_p(G)) = Z(O_p(G))$  which contradicts the fact that  $[O_p(G), \alpha] \neq 1$ . Let  $Q$  be minimal element of the set  $\{S : S \text{ is a normal } \alpha\text{-invariant subgroup of } G \text{ which is contained in } Z_2(O_p(G)) \text{ such that } [S, \alpha] \neq 1\}$ . Clearly  $[Q', \alpha] = 1$  by the minimality of  $Q$  and so  $Q' \leq Z(G)$  by the Three Subgroup Lemma. Set now  $Q_0 = \langle [Q, \alpha]^G \rangle$ . Note that both  $Q$  and  $|G/Q|$  are  $\alpha$ -CCP groups. So we have  $[Q, \alpha] = [Q, \alpha, \alpha]$  by Lemma 2.1(i). Thus  $1 \neq [[Q, \alpha]] \leq [Q_0, \alpha]$  and hence  $Q = Q_0$  by the minimality of  $Q$ . Now  $|QC_G(\alpha) : C_G(\alpha)| = |Q : C_Q(\alpha)| = p^m$  for some  $m$ . Let  $|G : QC_G(\alpha)| = r$ . Then  $r \leq \frac{n}{p^m}$ . We observe by Lemma 3.3 that  $[[Q, \alpha]] \leq p^{\frac{m^2+m}{2}}$ . Set  $R = C_{[Q, \alpha]}(\alpha)$ . Then  $R \leq [Q, \alpha]' \leq Q'$  and hence  $R \leq Z(G)$ . Now

$$|[Q, \alpha]/R| = |[Q, \alpha]C_Q(\alpha) : C_Q(\alpha)| = |Q : C_Q(\alpha)| = p^m.$$

So  $|R| = \frac{|[Q, \alpha]|}{p^m} \leq p^{\frac{m^2-m}{2}}$ . It remains to bound  $|G/R|$  suitably.

Set  $\bar{G} = G/R$ . The group  $\bar{Q}$  is the product of at most  $\log_2(r + 1)$  of the conjugates of  $[Q, \alpha]$  in  $\bar{G}$ : To see this let  $H = G \rtimes \langle \alpha \rangle$ . Note that  $Q \rtimes H$  and  $C_G(\alpha)\langle \alpha \rangle Q \leq N_H(Q\langle \alpha \rangle)$ . Set  $\tilde{H} = H/Q$ . Now  $|\tilde{H} : N_{\tilde{H}}(\langle \tilde{\alpha} \rangle)| \leq |H : Q\langle \alpha \rangle C_G(\alpha)| = r$ . By Lemma 3.2  $\langle \langle \tilde{\alpha} \rangle^{\tilde{H}} \rangle$  can be generated by at most  $k = \log_2(r + 1)$  conjugates of  $\langle \tilde{\alpha} \rangle$ . That is  $\langle \langle \tilde{\alpha} \rangle^{\tilde{H}} \rangle = \langle \tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_k \rangle$  where each  $\alpha_i$  is a conjugate of  $\alpha$  and  $\alpha_1 = \alpha$ . Note that  $H = [G, \alpha]\langle \alpha \rangle = \langle \alpha^H \rangle C_G(\alpha) = MQC_G(\alpha)$  where  $M = \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \rangle C_G(\alpha)$ . Therefore

$$|[Q, \alpha]^G| = |\langle [Q, \alpha]^M \rangle| = [Q, \alpha][Q, \alpha, M] \leq [Q, M] = \prod_{i=1}^k [Q, \alpha_i].$$

We are now ready to complete the proof of Theorem A. By the above paragraph we have  $|\bar{Q}| = |\langle [\bar{Q}, \alpha]^{\bar{G}} \rangle| \leq |\bar{Q}, \alpha|^k = p^{mk}$  and so  $|\bar{Q}| \leq p^{mk + (\frac{m^2-m}{2})k}$ . Notice that  $|G/Q| \leq r^k$  by induction. Thus

$$|G| = |G/Q||Q| \leq r^k p^{mk + \frac{m^2-m}{2}k} = r^k (p^m)^{k + \frac{m-1}{2}} \leq r^k (p^m)^{\log_2(n+1)} \leq n^{\log_2(n+1)}.$$

This contradiction completes the proof of Theorem A. □

*Remark 4.1* As indicated in the introduction one can reformulate Theorem A as Theorem B. Their equivalence can be easily seen as follows:

Suppose that Theorem A is true. Set  $H = G \rtimes \langle \alpha \rangle$  and  $x = \alpha$  in  $H$ . Then  $[G, \alpha] = [H, x]$  and  $\{[g, \alpha] : g \in G\} = \{[h, x] : h \in H\}$  and  $|G : C_G(\alpha)| = |H : C_H(x)| = n$ . Therefore  $|[G, \alpha]| = |[H, x]| \leq n^{\log_2(n+1)}$  by Theorem A. Conversely suppose that Theorem B is true and let  $H$  be a finite group containing an element  $x$  such that  $H = \{[h, x] : h \in H\} C_H(x)$  holds. Set  $G = H$  and let  $\alpha$  denote the inner automorphism of  $G$  induced by  $x$ . Then by applying Theorem B we have  $|[H, x]| \leq n^{\log_2(n+1)}$  as desired.

**Acknowledgments** The author thanks the referee for his/her careful reading and some corrections.

## References

1. Parker, C., Quick, M.: Coprime automorphisms and their commutators. *J. Algebra* **244**, 260–272 (2001)
2. Stein, A.: A conjugacy class as a transversal in a finite group. *J. Algebra* **239**, 365–390 (2001)